


Green leaf volatile sensory calcium transduction in *Arabidopsis*

Received: 16 January 2023

Accepted: 11 September 2023

Published online: 17 October 2023

Yuri Aratani^{1,5}, Takuya Uemura^{1,5}, Takuma Hagihara¹ , Kenji Matsui²  & Masatsugu Toyota^{1,3,4} 

Plants perceive volatile organic compounds (VOCs) released by mechanically- or herbivore-damaged neighboring plants and induce various defense responses. Such interplant communication protects plants from environmental threats. However, the spatiotemporal dynamics of VOC sensory transduction in plants remain largely unknown. Using a wide-field real-time imaging method, we visualize an increase in cytosolic Ca^{2+} concentration ($[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}$) in *Arabidopsis* leaves following exposure to VOCs emitted by injured plants. We identify two green leaf volatiles (GLVs), (Z)-3-hexenal (Z-3-HAL) and (E)-2-hexenal (E-2-HAL), which increase $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}$ in *Arabidopsis*. These volatiles trigger the expression of biotic and abiotic stress-responsive genes in a Ca^{2+} -dependent manner. Tissue-specific high-resolution Ca^{2+} imaging and stomatal mutant analysis reveal that $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}$ increases instantly in guard cells and subsequently in mesophyll cells upon Z-3-HAL exposure. These results suggest that GLVs in the atmosphere are rapidly taken up by the inner tissues via stomata, leading to $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}$ increases and subsequent defense responses in *Arabidopsis* leaves.

Plants emit an array of volatile organic compounds (VOCs), including green leaf volatiles (GLVs), terpenoids, and amino acid derivatives, in response to wounding and herbivore attack^{1,2}. These VOCs exert multiple protective effects, such as directly repelling herbivores and attracting natural enemies of the herbivores^{3–5}. Neighboring intact plants perceive these VOCs as danger cues to trigger defense responses⁶ or prime themselves to respond to upcoming stresses in a timely manner⁷. Therefore, these VOCs serve as interplant airborne signals. Such interplant interaction mediated by volatile cues is known as plant–plant communication or plant eavesdropping⁴. VOC perception in plants was first reported in the early 1980s. Two individual groups demonstrated that Sitka willow (*Salix sitchensis*) and poplar (*Populus x euramericana*) trees exhibited increased antiherbivore properties when grown near damaged plants^{8,9}. Such VOC-mediated interplant signaling has been reported in more than 30 plant species, including lima bean⁶, tobacco¹⁰, tomato¹¹, sagebrush¹², and *Arabidopsis*¹³.

GLVs, comprising six-carbon (C6) compounds, including alcohols, aldehydes, and esters, are among the most rapidly and abundantly produced VOCs in the plant kingdom, being generated within seconds after wounding¹⁴. Upon mechanical damage, GLV biosynthesis is immediately initiated by a lipoxygenase (LOX)-mediated dioxygenase reaction on fatty acids to yield fatty acid/lipid hydroperoxides. These derivatives are cleaved to form (Z)-3-hexenal (Z-3-HAL), a C6 volatile aldehyde, by hydroperoxide lyase (HPL), a key enzyme for GLV formation¹⁴. *Arabidopsis* accession Col-0 exhibits low GLV production because of a 10-bp deletion in *HPL*¹⁵. Z-3-HAL can be isomerized to (E)-2-hexenal (E-2-HAL) by an isomerase^{16,17}. Alternatively, Z-3-HAL is quickly reduced to the C6 alcohol (Z)-3-hexenol (Z-3-HOL), which can be further converted to (Z)-3-hexenyl acetate (Z-3-HAC) by an acetyl transferase. GLVs elicit a wide range of defense signals in plants. GLV exposure in plants induces the accumulation of the stress-related phytohormone jasmonic acid (JA)⁷ and expression of JA-dependent

¹Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Saitama University, Saitama 338-8570, Japan. ²Graduate School of Sciences and Technology for Innovation, Yamaguchi University, Yamaguchi 753-8515, Japan. ³Suntory Rising Stars Encouragement Program in Life Sciences (SunRISE), Suntory Foundation for Life Sciences, Kyoto 619-0284, Japan. ⁴Department of Botany, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706, USA. ⁵These authors contributed equally: Yuri Aratani, Takuya Uemura. ✉ e-mail: mtoyota@mail.saitama-u.ac.jp

defense genes¹⁸ or plants prime themselves for rapid response to subsequent herbivory damage¹⁹. GLVs also trigger the induction of abiotic stress-related defense genes, including heat- and oxidative stress-responsive genes²⁰. Indeed, treating *Arabidopsis* with GLVs induces enhanced heat stress tolerance²¹.

Plant VOC-dependent defense responses are initiated following VOC entry into inner tissues²². Exogenous VOC uptake by plants is facilitated during the light period, in which stomata are opened and CO₂ exchange is enhanced²³. Furthermore, changes in the uptake rate of aldehydes and ketones can be coupled with those of stomatal conductance²⁴, suggesting that stomata play a critical role in VOC perception. In tobacco, TOPLESS-like protein, a transcriptional corepressor for JA signaling, was revealed to interact with the sesquiterpene β -caryophyllene²⁵. Upon binding to β -caryophyllene, the TOPLESS-like protein-mediated transcriptional suppression of defense-related genes is inhibited, thereby accelerating defense gene expression²⁵. However, the molecular mechanisms underlying the perception of VOCs, especially GLVs, are poorly understood.

Cytosolic Ca²⁺ plays crucial roles in a wide array of plant stress responses^{26,27}. Downstream signaling activation systems via cytosolic calcium ion concentration ([Ca²⁺]_{cyt}) increases have been extensively studied, including the identification of critical components linking stress perception to the formation of Ca²⁺ signals, as well as the mechanism of Ca²⁺ signal implementation²⁸. Using an electrophysiological technique and a Ca²⁺-sensitive fluorescent dye, membrane potential depolarization and [Ca²⁺]_{cyt} increases after exposure to several GLVs were observed in tomato leaves²⁹. In addition, [Ca²⁺]_{cyt} increases were detected in detached *Arabidopsis* leaves upon VOC exposure using a Ca²⁺-sensitive luminescent protein³⁰. These findings suggest that Ca²⁺ signaling is involved in an early process leading to downstream defense responses. However, little is known about the spatiotemporal dynamics of GLV sensory transduction because of technical limitations in real-time monitoring of GLV-induced Ca²⁺ signals in intact living plants.

In this study, we observed that a plant-wide Ca²⁺ signature rapidly occurs in response to exposure to VOCs released by damaged plants using transgenic *Arabidopsis* expressing a green fluorescent protein-based Ca²⁺ biosensor and a wide-field real-time fluorescence microscope. We found that Z-3-HAL and E-2-HAL elicited rapid increases in [Ca²⁺]_{cyt} in *Arabidopsis* leaves, in which defense responses were activated. Tissue-specific high-resolution Ca²⁺ imaging and stomatal mutant analysis also clarified the spatiotemporal dynamics of VOC sensing and signal transduction networks in plants.

Results

VOCs released from plants trigger [Ca²⁺]_{cyt} increases in *Arabidopsis*

Using transgenic *Arabidopsis* expressing the Ca²⁺ biosensor GCaMP3³¹, we observed [Ca²⁺]_{cyt} changes in intact plants following exposure to VOCs emitted by damaged plants in real time. We used *Arabidopsis* accession No-0 and tomato as emitter plants because they emit various VOCs, including GLVs, in response to wounding^{29,32,33}. First, we monitored [Ca²⁺]_{cyt} increases in *Arabidopsis* (receiver) following exposure to VOCs emitted from *Arabidopsis* plants (source of VOCs) fed on by the common cutworm (*Spodoptera litura*; Fig. 1a, b and Supplementary Movie 1). This Ca²⁺ signal was rapidly transmitted to all parts of the plant within 20 min (Fig. 1b, c). Similar results were obtained when receiver *Arabidopsis* was exposed to VOCs released by tomato leaves (source of VOCs) consumed by *S. litura* (Fig. 1b, c and Supplementary Movie 2). Further, upon placing either homogenized *Arabidopsis* or tomato leaves (Source of VOCs) near receiver *Arabidopsis* (Fig. 2a), [Ca²⁺]_{cyt} increases were observed in several leaves (Fig. 2b, Supplementary Movies 3 and 4). In a time-course analysis of [Ca²⁺]_{cyt} changes in leaf 1 (L1), we found that VOCs emitted by homogenized tomato leaves caused larger signal changes than those emitted by *Arabidopsis*

(Fig. 2c, d). These results suggest that VOCs emitted by damaged *Arabidopsis* and tomato plants caused [Ca²⁺]_{cyt} changes in neighboring intact *Arabidopsis* plants.

Z-3-HAL and E-2-HAL induce [Ca²⁺]_{cyt} increases in *Arabidopsis*

To identify the VOCs responsible for triggering [Ca²⁺]_{cyt} changes in *Arabidopsis*, we examined five GLVs [Z-3-HAL, E-2-HAL, *n*-hexanal (*n*-HAL), Z-3-HOL, and Z-3-HAC], three terpenes (α -pinene, β -pinene, and β -caryophyllene), and methyl jasmonate (MeJA) because they have been reported to induce defense responses in receiver plants^{2,11,29}. We measured time-course changes in [Ca²⁺]_{cyt} in three regions, i.e., “tip,” “base,” and the midpoint between them (“mid”), in L1 of *Arabidopsis* after each VOC solution was placed in close proximity (Fig. 3a). Of these, Z-3-HAL immediately increased [Ca²⁺]_{cyt} at the tip within 30 s (Fig. 3b, c and Supplementary Movie 5). Subsequently, the signal was propagated to the mid and base regions within 1 and 2 min, respectively, and it persisted for over 15 min. Although exposing *Arabidopsis* to E-2-HAL also caused a [Ca²⁺]_{cyt} increase, the increase was weaker and slower than that induced by Z-3-HAL (Fig. 3b–d and Supplementary Movie 6). By measuring the velocities of Z-3-HAL- and E-2-HAL-induced Ca²⁺ transmission, we revealed that the signal transmission induced by Z-3-HAL (0.24–0.30 mm/s; *N* = 10) was faster than that induced by E-2-HAL (0.01–0.02 mm/s; *N* = 10; Fig. 3e). In contrast, no [Ca²⁺]_{cyt} increases were observed in leaves exposed to other VOCs (Fig. 3f). These results indicate that Z-3-HAL and E-2-HAL are key triggers of rapid [Ca²⁺]_{cyt} increases in *Arabidopsis*. Based on these observations, we focused on Z-3-HAL and E-2-HAL in subsequent analyses.

To confirm that GLVs induce [Ca²⁺]_{cyt} increases upon exposure to VOCs emitted by homogenized leaves (Fig. 2), we monitored [Ca²⁺]_{cyt} changes in leaves following exposure to VOCs emitted by homogenized *Arabidopsis* that harbors inactive *HPL* and emits little GLVs³⁴. VOCs emitted by homogenized *Arabidopsis* carrying Col-0-derived *HPL* (*hpl1* mutant) did not induce Ca²⁺ signals in receiver leaves (Supplementary Fig. 1). Using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) and MonoTrap RGPS TD, which is a high-quality adsorbent, we further analyzed the VOC components rapidly produced by homogenized tomato and *Arabidopsis* leaves. Tomato leaves emitted significantly higher amounts of Z-3-HAL and E-2-HAL than wild-type (WT) *Arabidopsis* leaves upon homogenization (Supplementary Fig. 2). Moreover, the levels of these compounds emitted by homogenized *hpl1* leaves were remarkably low (<25% of that emitted by WT leaves; Supplementary Fig. 2), consistent with the results of Ca²⁺ signature levels. Altogether, these results suggest that interplant airborne signaling that induces [Ca²⁺]_{cyt} changes is dependent on *HPL*-mediated GLV formation in *Arabidopsis*.

Z-3-HAL and E-2-HAL elicit electrical signals and defense gene expression

Because changes in the membrane potential upon GLV exposure were observed in tomato leaves²⁹, we simultaneously recorded the changes in [Ca²⁺]_{cyt} and the leaf surface potential upon C6 aldehyde exposure in *Arabidopsis*. Z-3-HAL and E-2-HAL exposure in *Arabidopsis* leaves resulted in rapid changes in the leaf surface potential, which is spatiotemporally coupled with changes in [Ca²⁺]_{cyt} (Fig. 4a–d). Interestingly, detailed analysis of the timing of the initial detectable signal changes revealed that the surface potential change significantly preceded the onset of the Ca²⁺ signal in the plant GLV sensory transduction system (Fig. 4e).

We next examined the accumulation of defense-related gene transcripts after Z-3-HAL and E-2-HAL exposure. Expression of the heat and oxidative stress response marker genes *HSP90.1* and *ZAT12* increased in leaves after 30 and 60 min of Z-3-HAL or E-2-HAL exposure (Fig. 4f). Similarly, JA-related genes, such as *OPR3* and *JAZ7*, were upregulated by these C6 aldehydes (Fig. 4f). Furthermore, we used a pharmacological approach to assess the role of GLV-induced [Ca²⁺]_{cyt}




Fig. 1 | Exposure to VOCs emitted by leaves consumed by the herbivore *S. litura* induces $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ increases in receiver *Arabidopsis* leaves. **a** The experimental setup for Ca^{2+} imaging in *Arabidopsis* (receiver) upon exposure to VOCs emitted by leaves consumed by *S. litura* larvae is schematically illustrated. Prior to the experiment, the receiver *Arabidopsis* in a plastic dish was acclimated by directing airflow from an empty plastic bottle for 10 min, allowing its adaptation to the experimental conditions. Subsequently, receiver *Arabidopsis* was exposed to VOCs emitted from a plastic bottle containing *S. litura* larvae and either *Arabidopsis* or tomato leaves (source of VOCs) by

connecting the bottle and manipulating the valve. The black arrows indicate the direction of airflow. **b** Changes in $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ (yellow arrowheads) in *Arabidopsis* expressing GCaMP3 in response to VOCs released from *Arabidopsis* (upper) and tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* cv. Minicarlo) leaves (below) consumed by *S. litura* larvae. White dashed lines indicate the position of the tip of the tube from where the airflow emerges. Scale bar, 5 mm. **c** Quantification of $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ signatures in leaf 1 (L1). Error bars, mean \pm standard error (SE). $N = 4$ and 5 biologically independent samples for *A. thaliana* and *S. lycopersicum*, respectively.




Fig. 2 | Exposure to VOCs emitted by homogenized leaves induces [Ca²⁺]_{cyt} increases in receiver *Arabidopsis* leaves. **a** The experimental setup for Ca²⁺ imaging in *Arabidopsis* (receiver) upon exposure to VOCs from homogenized *Arabidopsis* or tomato leaves (source of VOCs) is schematically illustrated. In total, 10 g of *Arabidopsis* leaves or 5 g of tomato leaves was homogenized with liquid nitrogen using a mortar and pestle. The resulting homogenized tissues were immediately transferred to 1.5-mL plastic tubes. Subsequently, Ca²⁺ imaging was initiated by placing the tubes in close proximity to receiver *Arabidopsis*. **b** Changes in [Ca²⁺]_{cyt} (yellow arrowheads) in *Arabidopsis* expressing GCaMP3 after exposure to VOCs

emitted by homogenized *Arabidopsis* (upper) and tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* cv. Micro-Tom) leaves (lower). Scale bar, 5 mm. **c** Quantification of [Ca²⁺]_{cyt} signatures in L1. Error bars, mean \pm SE. $N = 5$ and 3 biologically independent samples for *A. thaliana* and *S. lycopersicum*, respectively. **d** Comparison of the maximal [Ca²⁺]_{cyt} changes detected in receiver *Arabidopsis* upon exposure to VOCs emitted by homogenized *Arabidopsis* (*A.t*) or tomato (*S.l*) leaves. An asterisk denotes statistically significant differences based on two-tailed Student's *t*-test (*, $P < 0.05$). Error bars, mean \pm SE. $N = 5$ and 3 biologically independent samples for *A. thaliana* and *S. lycopersicum*, respectively.




Fig. 3 | Z-3-HAL and E-2-HAL trigger $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ increases in *Arabidopsis*. **a** Diagram presenting an *Arabidopsis* leaf and the regions of interest (ROIs) used to analyze $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ changes and velocities (V_1 and V_2). Ten microliters of each chemical solution were applied to a plastic tube placed 5 mm from the tip region of *Arabidopsis* leaf 1 (L1). $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ changes at each point (tip, mid, and base) were analyzed over time. **b** Time-course changes in $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ (yellow arrowheads) in the L1 of *Arabidopsis* after applying Z-3-HAL (upper) and E-2-HAL (lower) at a distance of 5 mm from the tip of L1. The chemical solution was applied into a plastic tube, indicated by an orange dashed line (0 s). Dashed white, red, and blue circles indicate the position of the tip, mid, and base regions, respectively. Scale bar, 2.5 mm. **c** Quantification of the $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ signature in the tip, mid, and base regions induced by Z-3-HAL (left) and E-2-HAL (right). Error bars, mean \pm SE. $N = 10$ biologically independent samples. **d** Comparison of the maximal $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ changes detected in each ROI upon exposure to Z-3-HAL and E-2-HAL. Error bars, mean \pm SE. $N = 10$ biologically independent samples. An asterisk denotes statistically significant differences based on two-tailed Student's t test (*, $P < 0.05$). **e** Velocities (mm/s) of the Ca^{2+} signals transmitted between the tip and mid regions (V_1) and between the mid and base regions (V_2) induced by Z-3-HAL and E-2-HAL were analyzed. Error bars, mean \pm SE. $N = 10$ biologically independent samples. An asterisk denotes statistically significant differences based on two-tailed Student's t test (*, $P < 0.05$). **f** Screening of VOCs that elicit $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ increases in *Arabidopsis*. $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ signatures in the tip region of L1 after 400 s of volatile solution treatment were analyzed. Error bars, mean \pm SE. $N = 10$ biologically independent samples.

period of 24 h with a liquid medium lacking $LaCl_3$ and EGTA, we observed approximately 65–95% recovery of the Ca^{2+} signal at 500 s compared with that in Mock-pretreated *Arabidopsis* (Supplementary Fig. 3a, c). Therefore, the GLV response in plants pretreated with the reagents is reversible, pharmacologically suggesting that these chemicals can block Ca^{2+} signals without drastically affecting the plant cells themselves. Altogether, these results indicate that $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ increases are required for the induction of transcriptional changes related to defense responses in plants.

Z-3-HAL induces local Ca^{2+} signals in a concentration-dependent manner


To gain further insights into the physiological properties of C6 aldehydes as signaling molecules, we examined the concentration dependency of $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ increases induced by Z-3-HAL. Upon exposure to lower Z-3-HAL concentrations, concentration-dependent decreases of $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ responses were observed (Fig. 5a, b, and Supplementary Fig. 4). We quantified the amount of Z-3-HAL released from Z-3-HAL solution under this experimental condition. Z-3-HAL was adsorbed by adsorbents placed at a distance of 5 mm from the 0.03 M solution. Z-3-HAL adsorption reached saturation within 1 min (Supplementary Fig. 5), and 3.85 nmol Z-3-HAL was adsorbed over 30 s. Considering the volume of the adsorbents (58 μ L), it can be estimated that the local concentration of Z-3-HAL at a distance of 5 mm from the 0.03 M solution was 0.07 mM. Based on this finding, L1 in receiver *Arabidopsis* was exposed to approximately 6.42–385 nmol (0.1–6.7 mM) Z-3-HAL over 30 s following exposure to 0.05–3.0 M Z-3-HAL solutions (Fig. 5a).

As Ca^{2+} has been proposed to act as a long-distance signal traveling to systemic undamaged parts to activate defense responses at a whole-plant level in other stress responses^{31,35}, we investigated whether Z-3-HAL triggers long-distance intracellular Ca^{2+} signal propagation. L1 was spatially isolated from other parts of the plant (Fig. 5c), ensuring that only L1 was exposed to Z-3-HAL. Exposure of L1 to Z-3-HAL caused a local $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ increase; however, Ca^{2+} signal propagation to distal unstimulated parts (L3) was not observed (Fig. 5d–f and Supplementary Movie 7). These results indicate that Z-3-HAL elicits $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ increases locally following direct exposure but not long-distance Ca^{2+} signals traveling toward systemic leaves.

GLVs are rapidly perceived by guard and mesophyll cells

We generated *Arabidopsis* expressing GCaMP3 driven by tissue-specific promoters, such as *GCI*, *RBCS1A*, *SULTR22*, and *ATML1*, to selectively

increases in triggering transcript accumulation. Pretreating *Arabidopsis* seedlings with a Ca^{2+} channel blocker ($LaCl_3$) or calcium chelator (EGTA) prevented both $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ increases and marker gene expression (Supplementary Fig. 3). Furthermore, we conducted the washout assay to evaluate the reversibility of the Ca^{2+} signals in *Arabidopsis*, allowing the assessment of any potential negative effects of these pharmacological reagents on plant cell viability. After an additional incubation



express GCaMP3 in guard³⁶, mesophyll³⁷, vasculature³⁸, and epidermal cells³⁹, respectively. These transgenic lines enable the visualization of [Ca²⁺]_{cyt} changes specifically occurring in each tissue, thereby aiding in the understanding of the spatiotemporal patterns of GLV-induced responses. Upon Z-3-HAL exposure, [Ca²⁺]_{cyt} increased rapidly in the tip region of *pGCL1::GCaMP3* and *pRBCS1A::GCaMP3* leaves within 40 s, whereas it increased gradually in *pSULTR2.2::GCaMP3* and

pATML1::GCaMP3 leaves (Fig. 6 and Supplementary Movies 8–11). Quantitative analysis of the timing of signal increases revealed that [Ca²⁺]_{cyt} first increased in *pGCL1::GCaMP3* and *pRBCS1A::GCaMP3* within 1 min (Fig. 6f, g). Interestingly, *pSULTR2.2::GCaMP3* and *pATML1::GCaMP3* responded slowly to Z-3-HAL (Fig. 6f, g).

To better understand GLV propagation pathways, we observed [Ca²⁺]_{cyt} changes at the cellular level using an upright confocal laser

Fig. 4 | Z-3-HAL and E-2-HAL trigger the leaf surface potential changes and defense-related gene expression in *Arabidopsis*. **a, b** Simultaneous recording of changes in the leaf surface potential and $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ (yellow arrowheads) in the tip region of L1 after the application of Z-3-HAL (**a**) and E-2-HAL (**b**) solutions 5 mm from the tip of L1. The chemical solution was applied in a plastic tube indicated by a white dashed line (0 s). The white arrow indicates the recording electrode. Scale bar, 2.5 mm. **c, d** Quantification of the leaf surface potential and $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ signatures induced by Z-3-HAL (**c**) and E-2-HAL (**d**). An enlarged graph is presented on the right. Error bars, mean \pm SE. $N = 10$ biologically independent samples. **e** Comparison of the time points at which the change in the leaf surface potential

and Ca^{2+} signal was detected. The change in signal used to measure velocity was defined as an increase or a decrease to above 2 standard deviation (SD) of the pre-stimulation levels. An asterisk denotes a significant difference based on two-tailed Student's *t*-test (*, $P < 0.05$). Error bars, mean \pm SE. $N = 10$ replicates per line. **f** Transcript levels of *HSP90.1*, *ZAT12*, *OPR3*, and *JAZ7* in L1 of *Arabidopsis* at 30 and 60 min after treatment with Z-3-HAL, E-2-HAL, or DMSO (Mock). *ACT8* was used as an internal reference for standardization. Error bars, mean \pm SE. $N = 5$. Different letters denote significant differences based on one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's post hoc test ($P < 0.05$).

scanning microscope (Fig. 7a–c). A rapid $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ increase was first observed in guard cells (*pGCI::GCaMP3*) within 1 min of Z-3-HAL exposure (Fig. 7d, g, h, and Supplementary Movie 12). An increase in $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ was detected earlier in mesophyll cells (*pRBCS1A::GCaMP3*) than in epidermal cells (*pATML1::GCaMP3*) (Fig. 7e–h and Supplementary Movies 13–14). These observations were consistent with the results obtained using *Arabidopsis* expressing *GCaMP3* driven by the 35S promoter (Supplementary Fig. 6 and Movie 15). Based on these findings, we hypothesized that plant GLV sensory transduction could be initiated by GLV flux into inner tissues via stomata, resulting in subsequent defense signaling activation.

Stomata play a critical role in rapid Z-3-HAL perception

To examine the function of stomata in rapid GLV sensory transduction, we used the phytohormone abscisic acid (ABA) to induce stomatal closure⁴⁰, as well as stomatal mutants exhibiting abnormal stomatal movement phenotypes^{41–43}. Pretreatment of *Arabidopsis* WT leaves with ABA resulted in stomatal closure (Supplementary Fig. 7), and the Z-3-HAL-induced increase in $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ was delayed compared with that in leaves pretreated with a stomatal opening buffer solution (Mock, Fig. 8). Loss-of-function mutants of *SLOW ANION CHANNEL-ASSOCIATED 1* (*slac1*) and *OPEN STOMATA 1* (*ost1*) exhibit impaired stomatal closure in the presence of ABA^{41,42} (Supplementary Fig. 7). Z-3-HAL-induced $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ signatures in ABA-treated *slac1-2* and *ost1-3* mutants expressing *GCaMP3* driven by the 35S promoter were similar to those in Mock-treated leaves (Fig. 8). Moreover, a critical role of stomata in rapid Z-3-HAL-induced electrical signaling was confirmed via the time-course analysis of leaf surface potential changes using ABA-pretreated leaves (Supplementary Fig. 8). These results support our hypothesis that GLV uptake from the atmosphere into tissues via stomata is the main pathway of rapid GLV sensory transduction in plants, which in turn activates plant defense responses.

Discussion

We visualized Ca^{2+} signal transduction in intact *Arabidopsis* plants exposed to VOCs emitted by mechanically- and herbivore-damaged plants (Figs. 1 and 2) and found that Z-3-HAL and E-2-HAL function as airborne signaling molecules triggering $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ increases, electrical signals, and transcriptional changes in *Arabidopsis* leaves (Figs. 3, 4). Since VOC components other than these two C6 aldehydes were unable to elicit $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ changes in *Arabidopsis* (Fig. 3f), the aldehyde moiety in their structures appeared necessary for activating $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ -based defense signaling. E-2-HAL containing an α,β -unsaturated carbonyl moiety is a reactive electrophile species that causes cell damage because of its ability to form adducts with nucleophiles⁴⁴. Z-3-HAL, which has a β,γ -unsaturated carbonyl group, exerts physiological effects on various organisms^{14,20}. Surprisingly, *n*-HAL and Z-3-HAC failed to trigger $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ increases (Fig. 3f), whereas Ca^{2+} response to Z-3-HAC exposure was previously reported in tomatoes²⁹. These findings suggest that plants possess species-specific sophisticated VOC recognition systems in addition to a mechanism capable of recognizing structural differences among GLV components (such as specialized receptor proteins)^{12,45}. Indeed, some volatile-specific receptors in plants have been isolated, including those for ethylene⁴⁶ and β -caryophyllene²⁵.

In addition to the volatile-specific perception system, VOC uptake pathways involving metabolic processes have been proposed to act as other primary pathways for downstream VOC signaling activation²². Z-3-HOL accumulated in tomato cells is glycosylated, leading to enhanced resistance against *S. litura* via the insecticidal effects⁴⁷. However, considering that neither Z-3-HOL nor β -caryophyllene triggered $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ changes (Fig. 3f), plants might be equipped with specialized VOC perception systems that activate downstream signaling independently at different levels (for example, Ca^{2+} signals, transcriptional changes, and metabolism).

The C6 aldehyde group can prime/induce plant defense responses to abiotic stresses²⁰. For example, heat and photooxidative stresses elicit enhanced E-2-HAL production in *Arabidopsis*, tomatoes^{21,48}, and tobacco⁴⁹. GLV-exposed *Arabidopsis* seedlings exhibit enhanced heat tolerance²¹. In this study, oxidative stress- and heat-responsive genes were upregulated upon GLV exposure in a Ca^{2+} -dependent manner (Fig. 4f and Supplementary Fig. 3b). Although the detailed molecular mechanism underlying stress-related signaling activation upon GLV exposure remains unclear, $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ increases could mediate both stress-responsive gene expression^{31,50} and immediate GLV formation via Ca^{2+} binding to the PLAT domain in LOX, a key enzyme for GLV biosynthesis⁵¹. Different experimental approaches, such as transcriptome analysis, could provide new insights into the mechanism underlying enhanced stress tolerance through GLV-induced Ca^{2+} signals.

The local concentration of Z-3-HAL at a distance of 5 mm from the 3.0 M solution, which consistently induced stable $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ increases, was estimated at 6.7 mM (Supplementary Fig. 5). Similarly, 381 nmol Z-3-HAL was detected within 10 min of exposure to 0.5 g homogenized *Arabidopsis* leaf tissues (Supplementary Fig. 2), indicating that receiver *Arabidopsis* is exposed to approximately 6.6 mM Z-3-HAL in 30 s. Although the homogenization of *Arabidopsis* leaf tissues might be unrealistic in nature, these estimations indicate that the concentration of Z-3-HAL solution corresponds to that emitted by plants and that the experimental conditions used in this study are relevant to the potential exposure or emission of Z-3-HAL in a natural context.

Plants possess the ability to efficiently absorb a wide range of surrounding atmospheric VOCs and accumulate them into their tissues. For example, tomato can absorb a significant amount of atmospheric methacrolein, with estimates ranging from 33% to 41% of the total methacrolein content present in the air⁵². The minimum amount of Z-3-HAL required to induce detectable Ca^{2+} signals was 0.1 M (Fig. 5a). Considering that approximately 12.8 nmol Z-3-HAL was adsorbed by the adsorbents within 30 s of exposure to 0.1 M Z-3-HAL, *Arabidopsis* accumulates approximately 4.2 nmol Z-3-HAL at 33% of the VOC-adsorbing capacity of the adsorbent. Based on the previous calculation³⁴, a single injury (7.5 μ g, 0.05 mm²) produces 12.9 and 2.9 pmol Z-3-HAL in tomatoes and *Arabidopsis*, respectively (for detail, see Supplementary Fig. 2 and Methods). If these estimations are accurate, the Z-3-HAL emitted following a single injury is unlikely to induce detectable Ca^{2+} signals. To induce the release of 12.8 nmol Z-3-HAL (the accumulation of 4.2 nmol Z-3-HAL in *Arabidopsis* leaves) and Ca^{2+} signals under our experimental conditions, approximately 148.8 (992.3 mm²) and 662.1 (4409.0 mm²) mg of leaves need to be injured in




Fig. 5 | Z-3-HAL-induced Ca^{2+} signature occurs locally and in a concentration-dependent manner. **a** Concentration dependency of the effects of Z-3-HAL activity on the $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ signature after exposure. Error bars, mean \pm SE. $N = 10$ biologically independent samples, except for 0.1 M ($N = 8$) and 0.5 M ($N = 9$). **b** Comparison of the maximal $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ changes detected in receiver *Arabidopsis* upon exposure to each concentration of Z-3-HAL. Error bars, mean \pm SE. $N = 10$ biologically independent samples, except for 0.1 M ($N = 8$) and 0.5 M ($N = 9$). Different letters denote significant differences based on one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's honestly significant difference post hoc test ($P < 0.05$). **c** Diagram presenting *Arabidopsis* and the ROIs used to analyze $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ changes.

L1 was spatially segregated using a square dish. ROI1 and ROI2 were set at local (L1) and systemic (L3) regions, respectively. **d** The time-course changes in $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ (yellow arrowheads) in L1 and L3 leaves after exposing L1 to Z-3-HAL. The dashed outline indicates the position of the square dish. Scale bar, 5 mm. **e** Quantification of $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ signatures in L1 (ROI1) and L3 (ROI2). Error bars, mean \pm SE. $N = 6$ biologically independent samples. **f** Comparison of the maximal $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ changes detected in L1 (ROI1) and L3 (ROI2) of receiver *Arabidopsis* upon exposure to 3.0 M Z-3-HAL. Error bars, mean \pm SE. $N = 6$ biologically independent samples. An asterisk denotes statistically significant differences based on two-tailed Student's t test (*, $P < 0.05$).

tomatoes and *Arabidopsis*, respectively. This size of agricultural damage might be realistic in nature⁵³.

Although it is unlikely that plants are continuously exposed to high GLV concentrations (e.g., 3.0 M Z-3-HAL) under natural

conditions, it should be noted that GLVs do not easily diffuse because of their high molecular weight, which may lead to high local GLV concentrations around damaged plants^{54,55}. Considering these findings, the possibility that plant cells and tissues are temporarily exposed




Fig. 6 | $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ increases in guard, mesophyll, and vasculature cells, and subsequently in epidermal cells upon Z-3-HAL exposure. **a–d** Snapshots of Ca^{2+} changes (yellow arrowheads) in L1 of *Arabidopsis* expressing GCaMP3 driven by the tissue-specific promoters GC1 (**a**), RBCS1A (**b**), SULTR2;2 (**c**), and ATML1 (**d**) after Z-3-HAL exposure. Scale bar, 2.5 mm. **e** Quantification of $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ signatures in the tip, mid, and base regions. Error bars, mean \pm SE. $N = 6$ biologically independent samples for pRBCS1A and pSULTR2;2, $N = 8$ for pGC1 and $N = 7$ for pATML1. **f** Enlarged graph displaying the onset of $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ increases within 160 s in the tip region of each

transgenic line. **g** Comparison of the time points at which the increase in the initial Ca^{2+} signal was detected in the tip region of L1 of pGC1::GCaMP3 (G), pRBCS1A::GCaMP3 (R), pSULTR2;2::GCaMP3 (S), and pATML1::GCaMP3 (A), following Z-3-HAL exposure. The increase in signal used to calculate velocity was defined as an increase to above 2 SD of the pre-stimulation levels. Error bars, mean \pm SE. $N = 6$ biologically independent samples for pRBCS1A and pSULTR2;2, $N = 8$ for pGC1 and $N = 7$ for pATML1. Different letters denote statistically significant differences based on one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's honestly significant difference post hoc test ($P < 0.05$).




Fig. 7 | Upright confocal laser scanning microscopy reveals instant Z-3-HAL-induced $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}$ increases in guard cells, followed by mesophyll cells.

a–c Localization of the GCaMP3 signal for *pGC1::GCaMP3* in guard cells (**a**), for *pRBCS1A::GCaMP3* in mesophyll cells (**b**), and for *pATML1::GCaMP3* in epidermal cells (**c**). The merged GFP signal and bright field images were presented as an overlay. **d–f** Snapshots of Ca^{2+} changes (yellow arrowheads) of $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}$ levels in LI of *pGC1::GCaMP3* (**d**), *pRBCS1A::GCaMP3* (**e**), and *pATML1::GCaMP3* (**f**) after exposure to Z-3-HAL. Scale bar, 50 μm . **g** Quantification of the $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}$ levels in the LI of

each transgenic line. Error bars, mean \pm SE. $N = 5$ biologically independent samples. **h** Comparison of the time points at which the increase in the Ca^{2+} signal was detected in *pGC1::GCaMP3* (**G**), *pRBCS1A::GCaMP3* (**R**), and *pATML1::GCaMP3* (**A**) following Z-3-HAL exposure. The increase in signal used to calculate velocity was defined as an increase to above 2 SD of the pre-stimulation levels. Error bars, mean \pm SE. $N = 5$ biologically independent samples. Different letters denote statistically significant differences based on one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's honestly significant difference post hoc test ($P < 0.05$).

to high GLV concentrations under specific circumstances (e.g., when receiver plants are in close proximity to disrupted plants capable of emitting numerous GLVs, including *Vigna radiata* and *Momordica charantia*⁵⁶, in response to herbivory in nature) cannot be dismissed. In fact, we detected Ca^{2+} signals in response to VOCs released from plants consumed by herbivores (Fig. 1). To further clarify this phenomenon, it would be beneficial to employ advanced technologies, such as the real-time detection of atmospheric VOC concentrations, and precisely determine the VOC adsorption capacity and efficiency of plants⁵⁷.

Using real-time Ca^{2+} imaging combined with pharmacological and genetic approaches, we proposed a model for the spatiotemporal propagation pathways of VOCs in plants (Fig. 9) where stomata play a critical role in perceiving VOC cues. Some studies support our idea of the importance of stomata for VOC uptake, especially for the absorption of atmospheric gases such as CO_2 , and air pollutants^{23,58}.

For example, VOC uptake by plant tissues is efficiently facilitated when stomata are opened²³. Aldehyde compounds can also be absorbed into the leaf interior via stomata⁵⁸. Conversely, the delayed Ca^{2+} signals in epidermal cells could be explained by the presence of the cuticle, which functions as a permeability barrier. This idea is supported by a previous finding that O_3 deposition in cuticles was negligibly small⁵⁹. Taken together, it is possible that stomata serve as a plant gateway mediating rapid VOC entry into interspaces in tissues.

Two glutamate receptor-like genes (GLRs) that are localized in phloem and xylem contact cells in the vasculature are activated in response to wounds, resulting in the propagation of Ca^{2+} and electrical signals from the wound site to distant organs^{31,60}. Although Z-3-HAL-induced $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}$ increases were detected in the vasculature tissue (Fig. 6c, e), no Ca^{2+} signal propagation toward systemic leaves was observed (Fig. 5d). Given that the amplitude and propagation rate of




Fig. 8 | Stomatal opening plays a crucial role in $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ increases upon Z-3-HAL exposure. **a** Pretreatment with ABA (20 μ M) delayed $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ increases (yellow arrowheads) induced by exposure to Z-3-HAL in WT leaves but not the leaves of the *slac1-2* and *ost1-3* mutants expressing GCaMP3 driven by the 35S promoter. Scale bar, 2.5 mm. **b** Quantification of Z-3-HAL-induced $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ signatures in the whole region of the detached leaf. Error bars, mean \pm SE. $N = 6$ biologically independent samples for WT (Mock) and WT (ABA), $N = 7$ for *slac1-2* (Mock), *slac1-2* (ABA) and

ost1-3 (ABA), and $N = 8$ for *ost1-3* (Mock). **c** Comparison of the maximal $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ changes detected in the detached leaves of WT (W), *slac1-2* (S), and *ost1-3* (O). Error bars, mean \pm SE. $N = 6$ biologically independent samples for WT (Mock) and WT (ABA), $N = 7$ for *slac1-2* (Mock), *slac1-2* (ABA) and *ost1-3* (ABA), and $N = 8$ for *ost1-3* (Mock). Different letters denote statistically significant differences based on one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's honestly significant difference post hoc test ($P < 0.05$).

the wound-triggered systemic signals depend on the type and intensity of the stimuli⁶¹, and the necessity of damaging the main vein of the leaf⁶², GLVs might not activate the key elements required for long-distance signal transmission, such as GLRs, or the local response might not reach the threshold required to allow the Ca^{2+} /electrical signals to move out of the local leaf. Interestingly, changes in the leaf surface potential preceded changes in $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ upon GLV exposure (Fig. 4e), as systemic electrical signals preceded Ca^{2+} signals upon wounding^{63,64}. Membrane depolarization could be induced by the activation of ion channels, such as Cl^- -permeable, ROS-sensitive, or ligand-gated channels, followed by $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ increases via Ca^{2+} influx and efflux through plasma- and endo-membranes, respectively^{63,64}.

The wide-field real-time imaging approach used in this study provided physiological insights with significantly higher resolution using intact *Arabidopsis*, revealing the details of Ca^{2+} signals in response to GLVs in a more comprehensive manner. This methodology allowed the assessment of spatial and temporal aspects of GLV perception pathways at the cellular level. Additionally, by integrating real-time imaging with other techniques, we achieved a deeper understanding of the comprehensive orchestration of GLV responses,

including Ca^{2+} signals and other signaling mechanisms such as electrical signals. This approach can be further extended to investigate VOC signaling networks across plant taxa using mutants that are defective in the putative elements of VOC responses. Furthermore, this Ca^{2+} imaging method can serve as a robust tool for investigating the molecular basis of airborne plant signaling, both within (e.g., *Arabidopsis* to *Arabidopsis*) and between species (e.g., tomato to *Arabidopsis*) (Figs. 1 and 2).

Methods

Plant material and growth condition

The seeds of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (accessions Col-0, No-0 and *hpl1* mutant in the Ler-0 background³⁴) were surface-sterilized and sown on sterile Murashige and Skoog (MS) agar medium [$1\times$ MS salts, 1% (w/v) sucrose, 0.01% (w/v) myoinositol, 0.05% (w/v) MES, and 0.5% (w/v) gellan gum; pH 5.7 adjusted with 1 N KOH]. After incubation in the dark at 4 °C for 2 days, the plates were placed horizontally at 22 °C in a growth chamber under continuous light (90–100 μ mol/m²/s) for approximately 2 weeks before use. After 2 weeks, *Arabidopsis* leaves were numbered from oldest to youngest⁶². Two-week-old *Arabidopsis*




Fig. 9 | Volatile C6 aldehyde sensory transduction system in *Arabidopsis*.

Volatile C6 aldehydes, such as Z-3-HAL and E-2-HAL, in the atmosphere are initially perceived by guard cells, which leads to $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ increases. C6 aldehydes can enter the interspace of leaf tissues via stomata, leading to the subsequent activation of

defense responses in mesophyll and vasculature cells. $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ increases are delayed in epidermal cells because of the presence of physical barriers, such as cuticles.

(accession No-0 and Ler-0) plants were transferred to soil and grown at 22 °C in a growth chamber under short-day conditions (8-h light/16-h dark photoperiod, 90–100 $\mu\text{mol}/\text{m}^2/\text{s}$) for approximately 2 months before use. Two-week-old (accession Col-0) plants were used for Ca^{2+} imaging. Two-month-old plants (accession No-0 and Ler-0) were used for preparation of homogenized leaf tissues and leaves that were subjected to feeding by *S. litura*. Surface-sterilized tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* cv. Micro-Tom) seeds were incubated overnight at 4 °C and sown on MS agar medium. Two-week-old plants were transferred to soil and grown at 25 °C in a growth chamber under long-day conditions (14-h light/10-h dark photoperiod, 90–100 $\mu\text{mol}/\text{m}^2/\text{s}$) for approximately 2 months before use. Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* cv. Minicarol) seedlings potted in soil were purchased from a home improvement store located in Saitama, Japan. These seedlings were cultivated in a temperature-controlled room under long-day conditions (14-h light/10-h dark photoperiod, 90–180 $\mu\text{mol}/\text{m}^2/\text{s}$) at 25–29 °C for more than 12 days and then used to prepare leaves that were consumed by *S. litura*.

Insects

Eggs of *S. litura* (Fabricius) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) were purchased from Sumika Technoservice Co. Ltd. (<https://www.chemtex.co.jp>). The insects were reared on a homemade artificial diet in the laboratory at 25 °C. Fifth-instar *S. litura* larvae were used for Ca^{2+} imaging.

DNA cloning and transformation

For the GCaMP3 constructs used for tissue-specific expression, genomic sequences of the 5' end of the open-reading frames of *GCI* (1716 bp, At1g22690), *RBCS1A* (1976 bp, At1g67090), *SULTR2;2* (2001 bp,

At1g77990), and *ATML1* (3378 bp, At4g21750) were amplified via polymerase chain reaction (PCR) targeting restriction enzyme sites from *Arabidopsis* genomic DNA and used as the promoter sequences. These fragments were digested and inserted into the corresponding sites of the pAN19 vector containing the GCaMP3 and nopaline synthase terminator (NOST) sequence, resulting in construction of the vectors *pGCI::GCaMP3 NOST*, *pRBCS1A::GCaMP3 NOST*, *pSULTR2;2::GCaMP3 NOST*, and *pATML1::GCaMP3 NOST*. The entire cassettes of GCaMP3 sequences driven by tissue-specific promoters were isolated via *NotI* digestion and cloned into the *NotI* site of the plant binary vector pBIN42. All binary vectors were transformed into the *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain GV3101 via electroporation. *Arabidopsis* plants were transformed using the floral dip method⁶⁵. To establish *Arabidopsis* mutants expressing GCaMP3, the entire cassette of *p35s::GCaMP3 NOST*³¹ was transformed into the *slac1-2* and *ost1-3* mutants. The primers used for cloning are detailed in Supplementary Table 1.

Real-time $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ imaging

Real-time $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ imaging of the entire plants was performed as described previously³¹. For Ca^{2+} imaging at the cellular level, GCaMP3 signals were acquired using an upright confocal laser scanning microscope (AIR, Nikon). GCaMP3 was excited using a 488-nm laser/488-nm dichroic mirror, and fluorescent signals were detected at 510–560 nm using the GaAsP detector of the microscope. NIS-Elements imaging software was used to analyze GCaMP3 signals over time at several regions of interest (ROI). To calculate fractional fluorescence changes ($\Delta F/F$), the following equation was used: $\Delta F/F = (F - F_0)/F_0$, where F_0 denotes the average baseline fluorescence determined by

the average of F over the first 10 frames of the recording before treatment.

Volatile treatment

β -Pinene and n -HAL were purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd. α -pinene and β -caryophyllene were obtained from Tokyo Chemical Industry Co., Ltd. MeJA, E -2-HAL, Z-3-HOL, and Z-3-HAC were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. LLC. Z-3-HAL was obtained from Nihon Zeon Co., Ltd. Each chemical was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) to make a stock solution of 3.0 M. To examine the concentration dependency of the responses, Z-3-HAL was dissolved in DMSO at concentrations of 0.05, 0.1, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, and 1.0 M. A 0.2-mL plastic tube cut with a scissor at a height of 5 mm was placed 5 mm from the tip region of L1, and then 10 μ L of each volatile solution was applied.

To selectively treat L1 with Z-3-HAL, a hole was created on the side of a square plastic dish with a cutter, and L1 was spatially segregated from other parts of the plant by inserting it into the hole, as described in Fig. 5c. Then, the hole was filled with 0.2% agarose gel, and Ca^{2+} imaging was performed.

For treatment with VOCs emitted by homogenized plants, approximately 10 and 5 g of the aboveground parts of 2-month-old *Arabidopsis* and tomato, respectively, were excised with a scissor and homogenized with a pestle in a mortar. Disrupted leaf tissues were immediately transferred to 1.5-mL plastic tubes and placed in close proximity to receiver *Arabidopsis* expressing GCaMP3. Subsequently, the MS agar plate was closed with a clear plastic cover to increase the volatile concentration, and then Ca^{2+} imaging was performed.

To facilitate exposure to VOCs emitted from leaves consumed by *S. litura*, we established an experimental setup consisting of two plastic bottles, flow meters (NFM-V-P-A-1, TEKHNE Corp.), and air pump (HD-603, FEDOUR), as shown in Fig. 1a. Briefly, approximately 7 g of the aboveground parts of 2-month-old *Arabidopsis* and tomato plants was excised with scissors. The severed leaves and 50–75 fifth instar *S. litura* larvae were placed inside a plastic bottle (approximately 215 cm³). The bottle was sealed with parafilm to prevent VOC leakage and incubated for 30 min. Prior to the experiment, air was pumped into an empty plastic bottle at a rate of approximately 450 mL/min and was directed toward receiver *Arabidopsis* for 10 min through a cotton-filled tip. This step was crucial for facilitating the adaptation of plants to the experimental conditions. Following adaptation, exposure to VOCs emitted by leaves consumed by *S. litura* as well as Ca^{2+} imaging was initiated by connecting the bottle and switching the valve. The air was pumped into the bottle at a rate of approximately 200 mL/min.

Recording of surface potential

Surface potential changes were measured as described previously with minor modifications⁶⁶. Ag/AgCl recording electrodes with a diameter of 0.2 mm were prepared via chloridation with hypochlorous acid and fixed to electrode holders. The recording electrodes were fixed to the tip region of L1 of *Arabidopsis* plants with the application of 5- μ L droplets of 10 mM KCl. The handmade Ag/AgCl wire with a diameter of 0.5 mm was used as a reference electrode and inserted into the agar growth medium. For measuring surface potential changes, an operational amplifier (Axopatch 200A, Axon Instruments), headstage amplifier (CV-201A headstage, Axon Instruments), digitizer (Digidata 1322A, Axon Instruments), and electrophysiology data acquisition software (Clampex 9.2, Axon Instruments) were used. Simultaneous measurements were performed using the SMZ25 microscope in a Faraday cage. Surface potential changes were sampled at 5 kHz. To compare the timing of the initial signal change with Ca^{2+} increases, each data point was subsequently extracted at a reduced frequency of 0.5 Hz. For calculating the surface potential changes (ΔV), the following equation was used: $\Delta V = V - V_0$, where V denotes the potential difference (PD) between the recording and the reference electrodes at a

certain time and V_0 denotes the averaged baseline PD determined by the mean of V over the first 10 frames of the recording before treatment.

ABA treatment

L1 of *Arabidopsis* plants was harvested from 2-week-old plants, floated in stomatal opening buffer solution (5 mM MES and 50 mM KCl; pH 6.1 adjusted with 1 N KOH) abaxial side down, and incubated under light (90–100 μ mol/m²/s) for 2 h to open the stomata. Subsequently, ABA (20 μ M) (Sigma-Aldrich) was added to the solution to induce stomatal closure. After 2 h of treatment, leaves were used for the subsequent experiments. For Ca^{2+} imaging and surface potential recording, detached leaves were transferred to MS agar medium and treated with 3.0 M Z-3-HAL as previously described. For stomatal aperture measurement, epidermal strips were prepared by peeling away the epidermal cell layer using a clear Scotch tape as described previously⁶⁷. The adaxial leaf surface was fixed with cover glass, and stomata were observed under an upright confocal laser scanning microscope (AIR, Nikon). The stomatal aperture was measured using NIS-Elements imaging software (Nikon).

Pharmacological treatment

$\text{LaCl}_3 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (Wako) and EGTA (Dojindo Laboratories) were dissolved in liquid MS medium at a final concentration of 50 mM. Eight-day-old *Arabidopsis* seedlings were transferred into a Petri dish filled with liquid MS medium containing either 50 mM LaCl_3 or EGTA and incubated for 16 h prior to experiments. For the washout assay, *Arabidopsis* seedlings that had been treated with 50 mM LaCl_3 or EGTA were uprooted from MS medium and washed 5 times with liquid MS medium devoid of these inhibitors to remove any residual inhibitors. Subsequently, the seedlings were transferred to an inhibitor-free MS agar plate and incubated for 24 h before conducting Ca^{2+} imaging.

Total RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis, and quantitative PCR

To extract total RNA, L1 and aboveground parts were harvested from 2-week-old *Arabidopsis* plants and 8-day-old *Arabidopsis* seedlings, respectively (Fig. 4f and Supplementary Fig. 3b). The harvested tissues were immediately frozen using liquid nitrogen. Total RNA was extracted from flash-frozen leaf tissue using the Plant Total RNA Mini Kit (FAVORGEN) following the manufacturer's instructions. The samples were further treated with RNase-free DNase I to remove any residual genomic DNA using the RNase-Free DNase Set (QIAGEN) according to the manufacturer's instructions. First-strand cDNA was then synthesized from the total RNA (500 ng) in a 10- μ L reaction (50 ng of total RNA/ μ L) with PrimeScriptTM RT Master Mix (Perfect Real-Time) for RT-PCR (Takara). In a 96-well optical PCR plate (ABgene), cDNA proportional to 10 ng of starting total RNA was combined with 100 nM of each primer (Supplementary Table 1) and 7.5 μ L of 2 \times Brilliant III Ultra-Fast SYBR Green QPCR Master Mix (Agilent Technologies) to a final volume of 15 μ L. Using *Arabidopsis ACT8* as an internal reference for standardization, qPCR was performed using the CFX96 Touch Deep Well Real-Time PCR System as well as CFX Maestro Software (Bio-Rad) with the following cycling parameters: 95 °C for 3 min; 40 cycles of 95 °C for 5 s and 60 °C for 10 s; and 1 cycle of dissociation from 65 °C to 95 °C with 0.5 °C increments. The expression of the marker genes was quantified using the quantification cycle [Cq].

Volatile analysis

VOCs emitted from homogenized leaves were identified and quantified as described previously with some modifications⁶⁸. Briefly, the aboveground parts of *Arabidopsis* (No-0 and Ler-0 accession) and tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* cv. Micro-Tom) plants were excised with a razor blade and weighed. Five hundred milligrams of tissues were immediately placed into a mortar and homogenized using a pestle and liquid nitrogen. Then, these tissues were transferred to a

grass vial (22 ml, Perkin Elmer). A MonoTrap cartridge (silica monolith matrix coated with octadecyl silyl group and activated carbon, RGPS TD, GL Sciences) was suspended in the headspace of the glass vial, allowing it to adsorb VOCs for 10 min. To quantify the volatilization of Z-3-HAL from DMSO solution, the MonoTrap cartridge was placed 5 mm from a 0.2-mL plastic tube containing 10 μ L of 0.03 M Z-3-HAL solution in DMSO, and VOC adsorption was performed for 0.5, 1, 2 and 5 min (Supplementary Fig. 5). Volatiles collected by the cartridge were analyzed by a GC–MS system (GCMS QP2030, Shimadzu) equipped with a thermal desorption system (TQ8040-NX, Shimadzu). Volatiles were desorbed at 250 °C for 10 min with He gas flow (70 mL/min) and concentrated onto a trap set at –25 °C. Volatiles were desorbed again at 250 °C for 2 min and fractionated with a DB-WAX capillary column (30 m \times 0.25 mm, 0.25 μ m film thickness, Agilent). The GC oven program was maintained at an initial temperature of 40 °C (held for 5 min), followed by a ramp of 5.0 °C/min to a final temperature of 200 °C (held for 2 min). The electron ionization mode with an ionization voltage of 70 eV was used, and the m/z was recorded from 40 to 400. To identify each compound, we used the retention indices and MS profiles of the corresponding authentic specimens. To construct calibration curves for Z-3-HAL and E-2-HAL, a given amount of authentic compounds (generous gift from Zeon Co., and purchased from Fujifilm Wako Pure Chemicals, respectively) was directly injected onto the MonoTrap cartridge and analyzed as previously described.

To estimate Z-3-HAL production from a single injury, we followed a previously described method³⁴. This estimation was based on the detection of Z-3-HAL that elicits $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ increases in *Arabidopsis* following leaf homogenization as described in Supplementary Fig. 2. The total amounts of Z-3-HAL produced by homogenized *Arabidopsis* and tomato leaves were quantified as 380.9 and 1718.7 nmol/gFW, respectively. These values represent the maximum capacity of Z-3-HAL synthesis by the leaves. Based on previous research³⁴, the average weight of a leaf was defined as 150 μ g/mm². Considering the estimated area of a single injury to be 0.05 mm² as previously described³⁴, we calculated that a single wound would result in the production of approximately 2.9 and 12.9 pmol of Z-3-HAL for *Arabidopsis* and tomatoes, respectively.

Statistical analysis

We performed Student's *t* test for pairwise analysis and one-way analysis of variance followed by Bonferroni's or Tukey's post hoc tests using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, Inc.) to compare multiple samples. Statistical significance was indicated by $P < 0.05$. All data are presented as the mean \pm SE.

Reporting summary

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Portfolio Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request. Source data are provided with this paper.

References

- Heil, M. & Karban, R. Explaining evolution of plant communication by airborne signals. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **25**, 137–144 (2010).
- Dudareva, N., Negre, F., Nagegowda, D. A. & Orlova, I. Plant volatiles: recent advances and future perspectives. *Crit. Rev. Plant Sci.* **25**, 417–440 (2006).
- Kessler, A. & Baldwin, I. T. Defensive function of herbivore-induced plant volatile emissions in nature. *Science* **291**, 2141–2144 (2001).
- De Moraes, C. M., Mescher, M. C. & Tumlinson, J. H. Caterpillar-induced nocturnal plant volatiles repel conspecific females. *Nature* **410**, 577–580 (2001).
- Arimura, G.-i, Kost, C. & Boland, W. Herbivore-induced, indirect plant defences. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1734**, 91–111 (2005).
- Arimura, G.-i et al. Herbivory-induced volatiles elicit defence genes in lima bean leaves. *Nature* **406**, 512–515 (2000).
- Engelberth, J., Alborn, H. T., Schmelz, E. A. & Tumlinson, J. H. Airborne signals prime plants against insect herbivore attack. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **101**, 1781–1785 (2004).
- Rhoades, D. F. In *Plant Resistance to Insects* (ed. Hedin, P. A.) Vol. 208, 55–68 (American Chemical Society, 1983).
- Baldwin, I. T. & Schultz, J. C. Rapid changes in tree leaf chemistry induced by damage: evidence for communication between plants. *Science* **221**, 277–279 (1983).
- Shulaev, V., Silverman, P. & Raskin, I. Airborne signalling by methyl salicylate in plant pathogen resistance. *Nature* **385**, 718–721 (1997).
- Farmer, E. E. & Ryan, C. A. Interplant communication: airborne methyl jasmonate induces synthesis of proteinase inhibitors in plant leaves. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **87**, 7713–7716 (1990).
- Karban, R., Huntzinger, M. & McCall, A. C. The specificity of eavesdropping on sagebrush by other plants. *Ecology* **85**, 1846–1852 (2004).
- Godard, K.-A., White, R. & Bohlmann, J. Monoterpene-induced molecular responses in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Phytochemistry* **69**, 1838–1849 (2008).
- Matsui, K. Green leaf volatiles: hydroperoxide lyase pathway of oxylipin metabolism. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **9**, 274–280 (2006).
- Duan, H., Huang, M.-Y., Palacio, K. & Schuler, M. A. Variations in CYP74B2 (Hydroperoxide Lyase) gene expression differentially affect hexenal signaling in the Columbia and Landsberg erecta ecotypes of *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol.* **139**, 1529–1544 (2005).
- Kunishima, M. et al. Identification of (Z)-3:(E)-2-hexenal isomerases essential to the production of the leaf aldehyde in plants. *J. Biol. Chem.* **291**, 14023–14033 (2016).
- Spyropoulou, E. A. et al. Identification and characterization of (3Z):(2E)-hexenal isomerases from cucumber. *Front. Plant Sci.* **8**, 1342 (2017).
- Engelberth, J., Seidl-Adams, I., Schultz, J. C. & Tumlinson, J. H. Insect elicitors and exposure to green leafy volatiles differentially upregulate major octadecanoids and transcripts of 12-oxo phyto-dienoic acid reductases in *Zea mays*. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* **20**, 707–716 (2007).
- Kost, C. & Heil, M. Herbivore-induced plant volatiles induce an indirect defence in neighbouring plants. *J. Ecol.* **94**, 619–628 (2006).
- Yamauchi, Y., Matsuda, A., Matsuura, N., Mizutani, M. & Sugimoto, Y. Transcriptome analysis of *Arabidopsis thaliana* treated with green leaf volatiles: possible role of green leaf volatiles as self-made damage-associated molecular patterns. *J. Pestic. Sci.* **43**, 207–213 (2018).
- Yamauchi, Y., Kunishima, M., Mizutani, M. & Sugimoto, Y. Reactive short-chain leaf volatiles act as powerful inducers of abiotic stress-related gene expression. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 8030 (2015).
- Matsui, K. A portion of plant airborne communication is endorsed by uptake and metabolism of volatile organic compounds. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **32**, 24–30 (2016).
- Tani, A., Tobe, S. & Shimizu, S. Leaf uptake of methyl ethyl ketone and croton aldehyde by *Castanopsis sieboldii* and *Viburnum odoratissimum* saplings. *Atmos. Environ.* **70**, 300–306 (2013).
- Tani, A., Tobe, S. & Shimizu, S. Uptake of methacrolein and methyl vinyl ketone by tree saplings and implications for forest atmosphere. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **44**, 7096–7101 (2010).
- Nagashima, A. et al. Transcriptional regulators involved in responses to volatile organic compounds in plants. *J. Biol. Chem.* **294**, 2256–2266 (2019).
- Aldon, D., Mbengue, M., Mazars, C. & Galaud, J.-P. Calcium signalling in plant biotic interactions. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **19**, 665 (2018).

27. Dong, Q., Wallrad, L., Almutairi, B. O. & Kudla, J. Ca^{2+} signaling in plant responses to abiotic stresses. *J. Integr. Plant Biol.* **64**, 287–300 (2022).
28. Kudla, J. et al. Advances and current challenges in calcium signaling. *N. Phytol.* **218**, 414–431 (2018).
29. Zebelo, S. A., Matsui, K., Ozawa, R. & Maffei, M. E. Plasma membrane potential depolarization and cytosolic calcium flux are early events involved in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicon*) plant-to-plant communication. *Plant Sci.* **196**, 93–100 (2012).
30. Asai, N., Nishioka, T., Takabayashi, J. & Furuichi, T. Plant volatiles regulate the activities of Ca^{2+} -permeable channels and promote cytoplasmic calcium transients in Arabidopsis leaf cells. *Plant Signal. Behav.* **4**, 294–300 (2009).
31. Toyota, M. et al. Glutamate triggers long-distance, calcium-based plant defense signaling. *Science* **361**, 1112–1115 (2018).
32. Tanaka, T. et al. Identification of a hexenal reductase that modulates the composition of green leaf volatiles. *Plant Physiol.* **178**, 552–564 (2018).
33. Fäldt, J., Arimura, G.-I., Gershenzon, J., Takabayashi, J. & Bohlmann, J. Functional identification of *AtTPSO3* as (E)- β -ocimene synthase: a monoterpene synthase catalyzing jasmonate- and wound-induced volatile formation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Planta* **216**, 745–751 (2003).
34. Shiojiri, K., Ozawa, R., Matsui, K., Sabelis, M. W. & Takabayashi, J. Intermittent exposure to traces of green leaf volatiles triggers a plant response. *Sci. Rep.* **2**, 378 (2012).
35. Choi, W.-G., Toyota, M., Kim, S.-H., Hilleary, R. & Gilroy, S. Salt stress-induced Ca^{2+} waves are associated with rapid, long-distance root-to-shoot signaling in plants. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **111**, 6497–6502 (2014).
36. Yang, Y., Costa, A., Leonhardt, N., Siegel, R. S. & Schroeder, J. I. Isolation of a strong *Arabidopsis* guard cell promoter and its potential as a research tool. *Plant Methods* **4**, 6 (2008).
37. Sawchuk, M. G., Donner, T. J., Head, P. & Scarpella, E. Unique and overlapping expression patterns among members of photosynthesis-associated nuclear gene families in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* **148**, 1908–1924 (2008).
38. Kirschner, S. et al. Expression of *SULTR2;2*, encoding a low-affinity sulphur transporter, in the Arabidopsis bundle sheath and vein cells is mediated by a positive regulator. *J. Exp. Bot.* **69**, 4897–4906 (2018).
39. Takada, S., Takada, N. & Yoshida, A. *ATML1* promotes epidermal cell differentiation in *Arabidopsis* shoots. *Development* **140**, 1919–1923 (2013).
40. Lievens, L., Pollier, J., Goossens, A., Beyaert, R. & Staal, J. Abscissic acid as pathogen effector and immune regulator. *Front. Plant Sci.* **8**, 587 (2017).
41. Vahisalu, T. et al. SLAC1 is required for plant guard cell S-type anion channel function in stomatal signalling. *Nature* **452**, 487–491 (2008).
42. Mustilli, A. C., Merlot, S., Vavasseur, A., Fenzi, F. & Giraudat, J. R. M. Arabidopsis OST1 protein kinase mediates the regulation of stomatal aperture by abscisic acid and acts upstream of reactive oxygen species. *Plant Cell* **14**, 3089–3099 (2002).
43. Laanemets, K. et al. Mutations in the SLAC1 anion channel slow stomatal opening and severely reduce K^{+} uptake channel activity via enhanced cytosolic $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]$ and increased Ca^{2+} sensitivity of K^{+} uptake channels. *N. Phytol.* **197**, 88–98 (2013).
44. Alm  ras, E. et al. Reactive electrophile species activate defense gene expression in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant J.* **34**, 205–216 (2003).
45. Kikuta, Y. et al. Specific regulation of pyrethrin biosynthesis in *Chrysanthemum cinerariaefolium* by a blend of volatiles emitted from artificially damaged conspecific plants. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **52**, 588–596 (2011).
46. Rodr  guez, F. I. et al. A copper cofactor for the ethylene receptor ETR1 from *Arabidopsis*. *Science* **283**, 996–998 (1999).
47. Sugimoto, K. et al. Intake and transformation to a glycoside of (Z)-3-hexenol from infested neighbors reveals a mode of plant odor reception and defense. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **111**, 7144–7149 (2014).
48. Copolovici, L., K  nnaste, A., Pazouki, L. & Niinemets,   . Emissions of green leaf volatiles and terpenoids from *Solanum lycopersicum* are quantitatively related to the severity of cold and heat shock treatments. *J. Plant Physiol.* **169**, 664–672 (2012).
49. Mano, J. I., Tokushige, K., Mizoguchi, H., Fujii, H. & Khorobrykh, S. Accumulation of lipid peroxide-derived, toxic α,β -unsaturated aldehydes (E)-2-pentenol, acrolein and (E)-2-hexenal in leaves under photoinhibitory illumination. *Plant Biotechnol.* **27**, 193–197 (2010).
50. Liu, H. T., Sun, D. Y. & Zhou, R. G. Ca^{2+} and AtCaM3 are involved in the expression of heat shock protein gene in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell Environ.* **28**, 1276–1284 (2005).
51. Mochizuki, S. & Matsui, K. Green leaf volatile-burst in *Arabidopsis* is governed by galactolipid oxygenation by a lipoxygenase that is under control of calcium ion. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **505**, 939–944 (2018).
52. Muramoto, S. et al. Glutathionylation and reduction of methacrolein in tomato plants account for its absorption from the vapor phase. *Plant Physiol.* **169**, 1744–1754 (2015).
53. Vengateswari, G., Arunthirumeni, M., Shivaswamy, M. S. & Shiva-kumar, M. S. Effect of host plants nutrients, antioxidants, and phytochemicals on growth, development, and fecundity of *Spodoptera litura* (Fabricius) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Int. J. Trop. Insect Sci.* **42**, 3161–3173 (2022).
54. Matsui, K. & Engelberth, J. Green leaf volatiles—the forefront of plant responses against biotic attack. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **63**, 1378–1390 (2022).
55. Beyaert, I. & Hilker, M. Plant odour plumes as mediators of plant–insect interactions. *Biol. Rev.* **89**, 68–81 (2014).
56. Engelberth, J. & Engelberth, M. Variability in the capacity to produce damage-induced aldehyde green leaf volatiles among different plant species provides novel insights into biosynthetic diversity. *Plants* **9**, 213 (2020).
57. Widhalm, J. R., Shih, M. L., Morgan, J. A. & Dudareva, N. Two-way communication: Volatile emission and uptake occur through the same barriers. *Mol. Plant* **16**, 1–3 (2023).
58. Kondo, T., Hasegawa, K., Uchida, R. & Onishi, M. Absorption of atmospheric C2–C5 aldehydes by various tree species and their tolerance to C2–C5 aldehydes. *Sci. Total Environ.* **224**, 121–132 (1998).
59. Omasa, K., Tobe, K. & Kondo, T. in *Air Pollution and Plant Biotechnology: Prospects for Phytomonitoring and Phytoremediation* 155–178 (Springer Japan, 2002).
60. Mousavi, S. A. R., Chauvin, A., Pascaud, F., Kellenberger, S. & Farmer, E. E. GLUTAMATE RECEPTOR-LIKE genes mediate leaf-to-leaf wound signalling. *Nature* **500**, 422–426 (2013).
61. Sukhov, V., Sukhova, E. & Vodeneev, V. Long-distance electrical signals as a link between the local action of stressors and the systemic physiological responses in higher plants. *Prog. Biophys. Mol. Biol.* **146**, 63–84 (2019).
62. Uemura, T., Wang, J., Aratani, Y., Gilroy, S. & Toyota, M. Wide-field, real-time imaging of local and systemic wound signals in *Arabidopsis*. *J. Vis. Exp.* **172**, e62114 (2021).
63. Moe-Lange, J. et al. Interdependence of a mechanosensitive anion channel and glutamate receptors in distal wound signaling. *Sci. Adv.* **7**, eabg4298 (2021).
64. Suda, H. & Toyota, M. Integration of long-range signals in plants: A model for wound-induced Ca^{2+} , electrical, ROS, and glutamate waves. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **69**, 102270 (2022).

65. Clough, S. J. & Bent, A. F. Floral dip: a simplified method for *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant J.* **16**, 735–743 (1998).
66. Hagiwara, T., Mano, H., Miura, T., Hasebe, M. & Toyota, M. Calcium-mediated rapid movements defend against herbivorous insects in *Mimosa pudica*. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 6412 (2022).
67. Zheng, X. et al. Danger-associated peptides close stomata by OST1-independent activation of anion channels in guard cells. *Plant Cell* **30**, 1132–1146 (2018).
68. Matsui, K., Sugimoto, K., Mano, J. I., Ozawa, R. & Takabayashi, J. Differential metabolisms of green leaf volatiles in injured and intact parts of a wounded leaf meet distinct ecophysiological requirements. *PLoS ONE* **7**, e36433 (2012).

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Juntaro Negi (Kyushu University) for providing *slac1-2* and *ost1-3* mutant seeds. This research was supported by grants from KAKENHI (18H05491) to M.T., (22KJ0451) to T.U., and by grants from A-STEP and Shiraishi Foundation of Science Development to M.T.

Author contributions

Y.A., T.U., T.H., and M.T. designed the study. Y.A., T.U., and T.H. performed Ca^{2+} imaging, electrophysiological measurements, qPCR analysis. K.M. performed volatile analysis. Y.A., T.U., T.H., and K.M. performed data analysis. Y.A., T.U., and M.T. wrote the manuscript. All authors discussed the results and contributed to the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-41589-9>.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Masatsugu Toyota.

Peer review information *Nature Communications* thanks Alex Costa and the other anonymous reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work. A peer review file is available.

Reprints and permissions information is available at <http://www.nature.com/reprints>

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2023